

Rule(s) Review Checklist Addendum (This form must be filled out electronically.)

This form is to be used only if the rule(s) was/were previously reviewed, and has/have not been amended/repealed subsequent to that review.

All responses should be in **bold** format.

Document(s) Reviewed (include title): WAC 458-20-197 When tax liability arises.

Date last reviewed: 9/13/2000

Reviewer: Nathan Schreiner

Date current review completed: 12/18/2003

Briefly explain the subject matter of the document(s):

Rule 197 provides a general discussion of timing issues and describes special rules related to contractors and "warehousemen."

Type an "X" in the column that most correctly answers the question, and provide clear, concise, and complete explanations where needed.

1. Public requests for review:

YES	NO	
	X	Is this document being reviewed at this time because of a public (e.g.,
		taxpayer or business association) request?

If "yes," provide the name of the taxpayer/business association and a brief explanation of the issues raised in the request.

2. Related statutes, interpretive and/or policy statements, court decisions, BTA decisions, and WTDs: (Excise Tax Advisories (ETAs), Property Tax Advisories and Bulletins (PTAs/PTBs), and Interim Audit Guidelines (IAGs) are considered interpretive and/or policy statements.)

YES	NO	
	X	Are there any statutory changes subsequent to the previous review of this rule
		that should be incorporated?
	X	Are there any interpretive or policy statements not identified in the previous review of this rule that should be incorporated? (An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed for each and submitted with this completed form.)
	X	Are there any interpretive or policy statements that should be repealed
		because the information is currently included in this or another rule, or the



	information is incorrect or not needed? (An Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed for each and submitted with this completed
	form.)
X	Are there any Board of Tax Appeals (BTA) decisions, court decisions, or
	Attorney General Opinions (AGOs) subsequent to the previous review of this
	rule that provide information that should be incorporated into this rule?
\mathbf{X}	Are there any administrative decisions (e.g., Appeals Division decisions
	(WTDs)) subsequent to the previous review of this rule that provide
	information that should be incorporated into the rule?
\mathbf{X}	Are there any changes to the recommendations in the previous review of this
	rule with respect to any of the types of documents noted above? (An
	Ancillary Document Review Supplement should be completed if any changes
	are recommended with respect to an interpretive or policy statement.)

If the answer is "yes" to any of the questions above, identify the pertinent document(s) and provide a <u>brief</u> summary of the information that should be incorporated into the document.

3. Additional information: Identify any additional issues (other than those noted above or in the previous review) that should be addressed or incorporated into the rule. Note here if you believe the rule can be rewritten and reorganized in a more clear and concise manner.

The next time this Rule or Rule 199 (accounting methods) is amended, consideration should be given to consolidating the two Rules. As an excise tax issue, accounting methods are only relevant to questions of timing. The discussion would be clearer if the two rules were integrated.

4. Listing of documents reviewed: The reviewer need identify only those documents that were not listed in the previous review of the rule(s). Use "bullets" with any lists, and include documents discussed above. Citations to statutes, interpretive or policy statements, and similar documents should include titles. Citations to Attorney General Opinions (AGOs) and court, Board of Tax Appeals (BTA), and Appeals Division (WTD) decisions should be followed by a brief description (i.e., a phrase or sentence) of the pertinent issue(s).

Statute(s) Implemented:

Interpretive and/or policy statements (e.g., ETAs, PTAs, and IAGs):

Court Decisions:

Board of Tax Appeals Decisions (BTAs):

Appeals Division Decisions (WTDs):

- Det. No. 00-016, 19 WTD 703 (2000) -- Long distance telephone service provider was assessed liability based on when calls were placed, but wanted to report based on when payment was received. Because the taxpayer's books were maintained on an accrual basis, liability arose when calls were placed.
- Det. No. 00-170, 20 WTD 385 (2001) -- Construction company was transferred payment each time "hook-up fee" was paid to municipality in exchange for the



company's construction of a storm water treatment plant. Because the amount of payments was not fixed or determinable, liability arose at the time payment was received and not at the completion of the construction.

Attorney General Opinions (AGOs):

Other Documents (e.g., special notices or Tax Topic articles, statutes or regulations administered by other agencies or government entities, statutes, rules, or other documents that were reviewed but were not specifically relevant to the subject matter of the document being reviewed):

5. R	Review Recommendation:	
	Amend	
	Repeal/Cancel (Appropriate when action is not conditioned upon another rule-making action or issuance of an interpretive or policy statement.)	
<u>X</u>	Leave as is (Appropriate even if the recommendation is to incorporate the current information into another rule.)	
	Begin the rule-making process for possible revision. (Applies only when the Department has received a petition to revise a rule.)	
Explanation of recommendation: Provide a brief summary of your recommendation, whether the same as or different from the original review of the document(s). If this recommendation differs from that of the previous review, explain the basis for this difference.		
	ommending that the rule be amended, be sure to note whether the basis for the amendation is to:	
	orrect inaccurate tax-reporting information now found in the current rule; acorporate legislation;	
• C	consolidate information now available in other documents (e.g., ETAs, WTDs, court ecisions); or	
• A	ddress issues not otherwise addressed in other documents (e.g., ETAs, WTDs, court ecisions).	
There	e are no new laws or issues that would merit amending Rule 197 at this time.	
6. N	Manager action: Date:	
	Reviewed and accepted recommendation	
Amen	dment priority:	
	$-\frac{1}{3}$ $-\frac{2}{4}$	
	• · ·	